



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,688	08/24/2001	Jeffrey D. Ollis	D2647	2555
27774	7590 02/17/2004		EXAM	IINER
•	RTKORT & WILLIA	MOLINARI, MICHAEL J		
251 NORTH AVENUE WEST				D. DED 1// 0000
2ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			2665	3
		DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>4</b> 7 •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/938,688	OLLIS, JEFFREY D.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael J Molinari	2665			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
_ ,,					
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
		ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/938,688



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued that the examiner used impermissible hindsight in suggesting that one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have known to locate the device of Shen locally. However, the examiner has made a rejection based on information available to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that it would have been obvious to locate the telephony server of Shen et al. locally. Applicant has argued that it would not make sense to "break out" a "local gateway" from the device of Shen et al., however the rejection does not state that a local gateway is "broken out" nor is there any need to assume that it is for Shen et al. to read on the independent claims.